

1 Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
2 Sean P. Rodriguez, CA Bar No. 262437
3 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
4 **BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**
5 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
6 San Francisco, CA 94104
7 Tel.: (415) 293-6800
8 mmao@bsfllp.com
9 srodriguez@bsfllp.com
10 brichardson@bsfllp.com

7 James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
8 Rossana Baeza (admitted *pro hac vice*)
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
9 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
Miami, FL 33131
10 Tel.: (305) 539-8400
11 jlee@bsflp.com
rbaeza@bsflp.com

12 Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
13 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P**
14 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
15 Los Angeles, CA. 90067
16 Tel: (310) 789-3100
Fax: (310) 789-3150
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

17 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

19 CHASOM BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN,
20 WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, and
21 CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, individually
and on behalf of all other similarly situated,

22 || Plaintiffs,

23 | vs.

24

GOOGLE LLC.

Defendant.

William Christopher Carmody
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1301 Avenue of the Americas,
32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Tel.: (212) 336-8330
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Michael F. Ram (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Ra O. Amen (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MORGAN & MORGAN
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Tel.: (813) 223-5505
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
rmcgee@forthepeople.com
mram@forthepeople.com
ramen@forthepeople.com

Case No.: 5:20-cv-03664-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANT GOOGLE'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
Courtroom 8 – 4th Floor
Date: February 25, 2021
Time: 1:30 p.m.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

2 Before the Court is Google LLC’s (“Google”) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs’ First
3 Amended Complaint (“Motion to Dismiss”). Having considered the parties’ papers filed in
4 support of and in opposition to the motion, argument by counsel, and all other matters properly
5 considered by this Court, the Court finds there is good cause to **DENY** Google’s Motion to
6 Dismiss.

7 **THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED** that for the reasons stated in the Memorandum of
8 Points and Authorities in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss, the Motion to Dismiss is
9 **DENIED.**

10 || IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 || DATED:

Honorable Lucy H. Koh
United States District Judge